

**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 1 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

### **CAPTION**

Jonathan Barris, Appellee

٧.

Stroud Township, Appellant

**CASE INFORMATION** 

Initiating Document: Order Granting Petition for Allowance of Appeal

Case Status: Closed

Journal Number: J-29-2023 May 24, 2023

Case Category: Civil Case Type(s): Civil Action Law

CONSOLIDATED CASES RELATED CASES

**COUNSEL INFORMATION** 

Attorney: Albert, Neil Lawrence

Address: 848 E Main St # 121

Ephrata, PA 17522

Phone No: (717) 940-0202

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes

Representing: Stroud Township, Appellant

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Kramer, Paul R., Jr.

Address: 103 N 7TH St

Stroudsburg, PA 18360-2154

Phone No: (570) 476-2950

Receive Mail: Yes Receive EMail: Yes

Representing: Barris, Jonathan, Appellee

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Email:

Email:



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 2 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

## **COUNSEL INFORMATION**

Attorney: Kirkpatrick, Sean Andrew

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General, 16th Floor, Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, PA

Address: Pa Ofc Of Attorney General

Strawberry Sq 15th Fl Harrisburg, PA 17120-0001

Phone No: (717) 705-2331

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes Email: Representing: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Prince, Joshua Garet

Address: Prince Law Ofcs Pc

646 Lenape Rd

Bechtelsville, PA 19505

Phone No: (888) 202-9297 x:81114

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes Email:

Representing: Allegheny County Sportsmen's League, et al., Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Sack, William Aaron

Address: 5550 Painted Mirage Road

STE 320

Las Vegas, NV 89149

Phone No: (916) 596-3492

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes

Representing: Firearms Policy Coalition and FPC Action Foundation, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Watterson, Kim M.

Reed Smith LLP

Address: 225 5TH Ave Ste 1200

Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2716

Phone No: (412) 288-7996

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes Email:

Representing: CeaseFire PA, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Email:



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 3 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

### **COUNSEL INFORMATION**

Attorney: Delone, John Bartley

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General

Address: Pa Ofc Of Attorney General

Strawberry Sq Fl 15 Harrisburg, PA 17120

(717) 783-3226

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes Email: Representing: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Phone No:

Attorney: Henry, Michelle Ann

Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General

Address: Pa Office Of Attorney General

Strawberry Sq Fl 16 Harrisburg, PA 17120

Phone No: (717) 580-0195

Receive Mail: Yes

Representing: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Attorney: Misour, Devin Michael

Address: 225 Fifth Ave

Suite 1200

Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Phone No: (412) 288-3091

Receive Mail: Yes

Receive EMail: Yes
Representing: CeaseFire PA, Amicus Curiae

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Email:



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 4 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

#### SUPREME COURT INFORMATION

the Commonwealth Court Order dated May 28, 2021 at No. 671 CD 2020 Appeal From: Reversing the Order of the Court of Common Pleas of Monroe County,

Civil Division, at No. 6773 Civil 2015 dated May 26, 2020.

Probable Jurisdiction Noted: Docketed Date: June 6, 2022

Allocatur/Miscellaneous Granted: June 6, 2022 Allocatur/Miscellaneous Docket No.: 363 MAL 2021

Allocatur/Miscellaneous Grant Order: AND NOW, this 6th day of June, 2022, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED. The issue, as rephrased, is:

Whether an ordinance that limits target shooting to two non-residential zoning districts, and thus does not provide for shooting ranges at all private residences, is facially unconstitutional under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution?

In addressing this issue, the parties are directed to discuss in their briefs the following subsidiary matters: (1) whether this Court should adopt the two-step framework for addressing Second Amendment challenges utilized by the lower court; (2) whether the core Second Amendment right to possess firearms for self-defense recognized in District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), also implies a corresponding right to acquire and maintain proficiency in their use; (3) whether such a corresponding right, if it exists, must extend to one's own home; and (4) the level of scrutiny courts should apply when reviewing enactments that burden individuals' ability to maintain firearms proficiency.

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

AND NOW, this 6th day of July, 2022, the briefing directives contained in the Court's June 6, 2022 order are hereby VACATED, and the parties are DIRECTED to address the question presented under the standards announced in N.Y. Rifle & Pistol Assoc., Inc. v. Bruen, \_\_\_ S.Ct. \_\_\_, 2022 WL 2251305 (June 23, 2022).

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

		FEE INFORMATION			
Fee Dt	Fee Name	Fee Amt	Receipt Dt	Receipt No	Receipt Amt
08/18/2022	2nd Motion for Extension of Time	10.00	08/29/2022	2022-SUP-M-001982	10.00

#### INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURT INFORMATION

Court Name: Commonwealth Docket Number: 671 CD 2020

Date of Order: May 28, 2021 Rearg/Recon Disp Date:

Rearg/Recon Disposition:

Brobson, P. Kevin Judge(s):

Leavitt, Mary Hannah

Leadbetter, Bonnie Brigance

Intermediate Appellate Court Action: Reversed

Referring Court:

#### AGENCY/TRIAL COURT INFORMATION



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 5 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

Court Below: Monroe County Court of Common Pleas

County: Monroe Division: Monroe County Civil Division

Date of Agency/Trial Court Order: May 26, 2020

Docket Number: No. 6773 Civil 2015

Judge(s): Zulick, Arthur L. OTN:

Order Type: Order

ORIGINAL RECORD CONTENT			
Original Record Item	Filed Date	Content/Description	
Part(s)		6	
Trial Court Opinion - e-filed		1	
Trial Court Record - e-filed		1	

Record Remittal: March 13, 2024

## **BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Amicus Curiae Appellee

Allegheny County Sportsmen's League, et al. Barris, Jonathan

Brief Brief

Due: October 24, 2022 Filed: October 21, 2022 Due: October 31, 2022 Filed: October 19, 2022

CeaseFire PA

Brief

Due: September 23, 2022 Filed: September 22, 2022

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

**Brief** 

Due: September 23, 2022 Filed: September 22, 2022

**Firearms Policy Coalition and FPC Action Foundation** 

Brief

Due: October 24, 2022 Filed: October 21, 2022

**Appellant** 

**Stroud Township** 

**Brief** 

Due: September 22, 2022 Filed: September 22, 2022

Reply Brief

Due: November 7, 2022 Filed:

Reproduced Record

Due: September 22, 2022 Filed: September 22, 2022

SESSION INFORMATION

Journal Number: J-29-2023 Listed/Submitted Date: May 24, 2023

Consideration Type: Oral Argument Supreme Ct.



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 6 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

DISPOSITION INFORMATION			
Related Journal No:	J-29-2023	Judgment Date:	February 21, 2024
Category:	Decided	Disposition Author:	Dougherty, Kevin M.
Disposition:	Reversed/Remanded	Disposition Date:	February 21, 2024
Dispositional Filing:	Dissenting Opinion	Author:	Mundy, Sallie U.
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Dispositional Filing:	Concurring/Dissenting Opinion	Author:	Donohue, Christine
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Dispositional Filing:	Majority Opinion	Author:	Dougherty, Kevin M.
Dispositional Filling.	majority opinion	Addion.	Bodgherty, Nevin W.
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Justice:	Todd, Debra	Vote:	Joins
Justice:	Wecht, David N.	Vote:	Joins
Justice:	Brobson, P. Kevin	Vote:	Did Not Participate in
			Consid/Decision of Case

DOCKET ENTRY				
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By	
June 6, 2022	Allocatur Granted			
			Per Curiam	

## Comments:

AND NOW, this 6th day of June, 2022, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED. The issue, as rephrased, is:

Whether an ordinance that limits target shooting to two non-residential zoning districts, and thus does not provide for shooting ranges at all private residences, is facially unconstitutional under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution?

In addressing this issue, the parties are directed to discuss in their briefs the following subsidiary matters: (1) whether this Court should adopt the two-step framework for addressing Second Amendment challenges utilized by the lower court; (2) whether the core Second Amendment right to possess firearms for self-defense recognized in District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), also implies a corresponding right to acquire and maintain proficiency in their use; (3) whether such a corresponding right, if it exists, must extend to one's own home; and (4) the level of scrutiny courts should apply when reviewing enactments that burden individuals' ability to maintain firearms proficiency.

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

June 7, 2022	Commonwealth Court Record Received	
		Commonwealth Court of
		Pennsylvania

CeaseFire PA



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 7 of 9

December 30, 2024

	December 30, 2024		
	DO	CKET ENTRY	
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
July 6, 2022	Order Vacating Briefing Directives Question	s in June 6, 2022 Order ar	nd Directive to Address
	-		Per Curiam  e Court's June 6, 2022 order are hereby
-	arties are DIRECTED to address c. v. Bruen, S.Ct, 2022 WL :		under the standards announced in N.Y.
Justice Brobson did not	participate in the consideration or de	cision of this matter.	
July 6, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary
July 7, 2022	Application for Extension of Time	to File Brief - First Reque	est
		Appellant	Stroud Township
July 11, 2022	Order Granting Application for Ex	tension of Time to File Ap	ppellant Brief Dreibelbis, Amy
	day of July, 2022, Appellant's a all be filed on or before September 1,		of time is granted. Appellant's Brief and
July 11, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary
August 18, 2022	Application for Extension of Time to File Brief - Second Request  Appellant Stroud Township		
August 22, 2022	Order Granting Application for Ex	tension of Time to File Ap	opellant Brief Dreibelbis, Amy
	d day of August, 2022, Appellant's second application for extension of time is granted only to the s Brief and Reproduced Record shall be filed on or before September 22, 2022. Further extension		
August 22, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary
September 22, 2022	Appellant's Brief	Appellant	Stroud Township
September 22, 2022	Appellant's Reproduced Record F	iled Appellant	Stroud Township
September 22, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief	Amicus Curiae	CeaseFire PA
September 22, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief	Amicus Curiae	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
September 26, 2022	Praecipe for Appearance		

Amicus Curiae

Misour, Devin Michael



**DOCKET ENTRY** 

**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 8 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By		
October 19, 2022	Appellee's Brief				
		Appellee	Barris, Jonathan		
October 21, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief				
		Amicus Curiae	Allegheny County Sportsmen's League, et al.		
	• • • • •	•	Conservation League, Firearms Owners d Sportsmen of PA, and USCCA Legal		
October 21, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief				
		Amicus Curiae	Firearms Policy Coalition and FPC Action Foundation		
April 3, 2023	Acknowledgement of Argument	Notice			
	Stroud Township	Appellant	Albert, Neil Lawrence		
April 17, 2023	Acknowledgement of Argument Notice				
	Barris, Jonathan	Appellee	Kramer, Paul R., Jr.		
April 17, 2023	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	a's Unopposed Application to	o Participate in Oral		
	<b>3</b>	Amicus Curiae	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania		
April 24, 2023	No Answer Letter to Application	for Oral Argument			
·		Appellant	Stroud Township		
April 25, 2023	No Answer Letter to Application	for Oral Argument			
		Appellee	Barris, Jonathan		
May 16, 2023	Order Granting Commonwealth in Oral Argument	of Pennsylvania's Unoppose	ed Application to Participate		
			Per Curiam		
Comments: AND NOW, this 16 GRANTED.	th day of May, 2023, the Commo	onwealth's Unopposed Applic	cation to Participate in Oral Argument is		
Justice Brobson did r	not participate in the consideration or	decision of this matter.			

Order Exited			
		Office of the Prothonotary	
Acknowledgement of Argument Notice			
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Kirkpatrick, Sean Andrew	
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Delone, John Bartley	
Praecipe for Appearance			
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Delone, John Bartley	
Argued			
Stroud Township	Appellant	Albert, Neil Lawrence	
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Delone, John Bartley	
Barris, Jonathan	Appellee	Kramer, Paul R., Jr.	
	Acknowledgement of Argument Notes Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Praecipe for Appearance Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Argued Stroud Township Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Acknowledgement of Argument Notice Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Amicus Curiae Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Amicus Curiae  Praecipe for Appearance Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Amicus Curiae  Argued Stroud Township Appellant Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Amicus Curiae	



**Appeal Docket Sheet** 

Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 9 of 9

**December 30, 2024** 

DOCKET ENTRY				
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By	
February 21, 2024	Reversed/Remanded			
			Dougherty, Kevin M.	

#### Comments:

We hold the Second Amendment's plain text covers Barris's conduct based on the specific terms of the discharge ordinance before us, which permits the confiscation of lawfully owned arms. Nonetheless, we further hold the government has met its burden to justify the discharge ordinance's shooting range exception by showing it is consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation. As such, Barris's conduct falls outside the Second Amendment's unqualified command, and the Township's discharge ordinance is facially constitutional. We therefore reverse and remand.

\* \* \* \*

We close by adding our voice to the ever-growing chorus of courts across the country that have implored the High Court to answer some of the many questions Bruen both created and left unresolved -- or even to reconsider its path entirely. Our Nation is gripped by a level of deadly gun violence our founders never could have conceived, and, respectfully, some of the Court's actions in recent years have done little to quell the legitimate fears of "the people." Doubtless, the federal Constitution is king, and the heavy burden of interpreting that all-important document falls solely to the head of the federal judiciary. Still, to many, the Bruen Court's word that the Second Amendment is meant "to be adapted to the various crises of human affairs" largely rings hollow since the Court has frozen its meaning in time in the ways that matter most. Bruen, 597 U.S. at 28. Worse yet, the Court seemingly moves the goalposts with each new case it takes, most recently by sua sponte discarding a test that was uniformly embraced by courts across the country and replacing it with a harsh "history-and-tradition" test no one asked for. We cannot help but wonder (and fear, really): What's next?

Chief Justice Todd and Justice Wecht join the opinion.

Justice Donohue files a concurring and dissenting opinion

Justice Mundy files a dissenting opinion.

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

February 21, 2024	Judgment Entered	
		Office of the Prothonotary
March 13, 2024	Original Record Remitted to Commonwealth Court	
		Office of the Prothonotary
March 14, 2024	Acknowledgement of Record Remittal	
		Commonwealth Court of
		Pennsylvania
		Monroe County Court of
		Common Pleas

### **CROSS COURT ACTIONS**

Docket Number: 363 MAL 2021
Docket Number: 671 CD 2020