

Appeal Docket Sheet**Superior Court of Pennsylvania****Docket Number: 596 MDA 2026****Page 1 of 5****July 30, 2026****CAPTION**

Abigail Juarez Cardenas

v.

William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi

Appellants

CASE INFORMATION

Initiating Document: Notice of Appeal IFP

Case Status: Decided/Active

Case Processing Status: July 14, 2026 Awaiting Supreme Court Decision

Journal Number:

Case Category: Civil Case Type(s): Civil Action Law

CONSOLIDATED CASES**RELATED CASES****SCHEDULED EVENT**

Next Event Type: Original Record Received

Next Event Due Date: June 8, 2026

Next Event Type: Record Remitted

Next Event Due Date: August 10, 2026

COUNSEL INFORMATION**Appellant William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi**

IFP Status: Yes

Pro Se: Yes

Pro Se: William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi

Address: 2172 Paxton Drive
Harrisburg, PA 17110

Phone No: (717) 743-8066 Fax No:

Appellee Juarez Cardenas, Abigail

IFP Status: No

Pro Se: Yes

Pro Se: Abigail Juarez Cardenas

Address: 4591 Sequoia Drive
Apt. 315B
Harrisburg, PA 17109**AGENCY/TRIAL COURT INFORMATION**

Order Appealed From: April 2, 2026 Notice of Appeal Filed: April 9, 2026

Order Type: Order Entered

Documents Received: April 15, 2026

Court Below: Dauphin County Court of Common Pleas

County: Dauphin Division: Dauphin County Civil Division

Judge: Dowling, Andrew H. OTN:

Docket Number: 2026-CV-01868 Judicial District: 12

Appeal Docket Sheet

Superior Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 596 MDA 2026

Page 2 of 5

July 30, 2026



ORIGINAL RECORD CONTENT

Original Record Item	Filed Date	Content Description
----------------------	------------	---------------------

Date of Remand of Record:

BRIEFING SCHEDULE

None

None

DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
April 15, 2026	Notice of Appeal IFP Docketed	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
April 15, 2026	Docketing Statement Exited (Civil)		Superior Court of Pennsylvania
April 29, 2026	Docketing Statement Received (Civil)	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
April 29, 2026	Application for Emergency Relief	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
May 1, 2026	Other	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
Document Name: Exhibits to Emergency Relief			
May 7, 2026	Order Denying Application for Emergency Relief		Per Curiam

Comment: Upon consideration of the April 29, 2026, "Notice of Filing of Emergency Application for Stay Pending Appeal," (docketed as "Application to Stay") filed by pro se Appellants William A. Morris and Kimberly D. Millunzi, defendants below, this Court observes that Appellants appeal from the April 2, 2026 order denying Appellants "Emergency Omnibus Motion for Reconsideration of 3/9/2026 Order, Stay of Execution and Stay of Eviction" entered in the underlying landlord-tenant action. Appellants now seek to stay all lower court proceedings "including Appellee's motion for possession[.]" Appellants, however, fail to provide any plausible legal basis for the issuance of a stay. Therefore, the applications are DENIED because Appellants fail to satisfy the factors required for issuance of a stay pending appeal. Pennsylvania Pub. Util. Comm'n v. Process Gas Consumers Grp., 467 A.2d 805 (Pa. 1983) (holding petitioner seeking stay must strongly show likelihood of prevailing on merits; that without requested relief, petitioner will suffer irreparable injury; that issuance of stay will not substantially harm other interested parties in proceedings; and that issuance of stay will not adversely affect public interest); see also Pa.R.A.P. 2119(a) (requiring that each question appellant raises be supported by discussion and analysis of pertinent authority).

Appeal Docket Sheet

Superior Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 596 MDA 2026

Page 3 of 5

July 30, 2026



DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
May 8, 2026	Application for Emergency Relief	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
Document Name: Reconsideration of 5/7/26 Order Denying Stay			
May 18, 2026	Application for Emergency Relief	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
May 21, 2026	Other	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi
Document Name: Exhibit to 5/18 Emergency App			
May 21, 2026	Order - Rule to Show Cause		Per Curiam
Comment: Upon consideration of the notice of appeal and docketing statement filed by pro se Appellants Williams A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi, defendants below, Appellants appeal from the April 2, 2026 order ("Order") disposing of Appellants "Emergency Omnibus Motion for Reconsideration of 3/9/26 Order, Stay of Execution and Stay of Eviction[.]" The language of the Order further directed Appellant Kimberly D. Millunzi to file a "new Petition to Proceed in forma pauperis that indicates her current employment and income." The Order does not appear to be a final order or otherwise appealable pursuant to relevant law or statute. See, generally, Pa.R.A.P. §341(b)(1) (stating final order disposes of all claims and all parties); see also <i>McCutcheon v. Philadelphia Elec. Co.</i>, 788 A.2d 345, 349 (Pa. 2002) (providing appeal properly lies from final order unless otherwise permitted by rule or statute). Moreover, an order denying reconsideration is not reviewable on appeal. <i>Huntington Nat. Bank v. K - Cor, Inc.</i>, 107 A.3d 783, 787 (Pa. Super. 2014) (noting refusal of a trial court to reconsider, rehear, or permit reargument is not reviewable on appeal). Additionally, an order denying a motion to stay is generally interlocutory and unappealable. <i>Keesee v. Dougherty</i>, 230 A.3d 1128, 1131-32 (Pa. Super. 2020). Accordingly, Appellants are DIRECTED to show cause, in the form of a response filed of record in this Court with a copy served on opposing counsel, as to why the appeal should not be quashed. The response shall be filed of record in this Court within fourteen (14) days from the date of this order. Appellant's failure to comply with this order may result in quashal of the appeal without further notice.			
June 4, 2026	Response to Rule to Show Cause	Appellant	William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi

Appeal Docket Sheet

Superior Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 596 MDA 2026

Page 4 of 5

July 30, 2026



DOCKET ENTRY

Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By
------------	-----------------------------	------------------	----------

July 10, 2026	Quash Sua Sponte		
---------------	------------------	--	--

Per Curiam

Document Name: Other Pending Apps Dismissed as Moot

Comment: Upon consideration of the notice of appeal and docketing statement filed by pro se Appellants William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi, defendants below, Appellants appeal from the April 2, 2026 order ("Order") disposing of Appellants' "Emergency Omnibus Motion for Reconsideration of 3/9/26 Order, Stay of Execution and Stay of Eviction." The language of the Order further directed Appellant Kimberley D. Millunzi to file a "new Petition to Proceed in forma pauperis that indicates her current employment and income." The Order does not appear to be a final order or otherwise appealable pursuant to relevant law or statute. See, generally, Pa.R.A.P. 341(b)(1) (stating final order disposes of all claims and all parties); see also *McCutcheon v. Philadelphia Elec. Co.*, 788 A.2d 345, 349 (Pa. 2002) (providing appeal properly lies from final order unless otherwise permitted by rule or statute). Moreover, an order denying reconsideration is not reviewable on appeal. *Huntington Nat. Bank v. K - Cor, Inc.*, 107 A.3d 783, 787 (Pa. Super. 2014) (noting refusal of a trial court to reconsider, rehear, or permit reargument is not reviewable on appeal). Additionally, an order denying a motion to stay is generally interlocutory and unappealable. *Keese v. Dougherty*, 230 A.3d 1128, 1131-32 (Pa. Super. 2020). As such, by order entered May 21, 2026, this Court directed Appellants to show cause as to why the appeal should not be quashed. Appellants filed a response, but the response is legally insufficient to support appealability of the order. Accordingly, the appeal is QUASHED. All pending applications are DISMISSED as moot.

July 14, 2026	Petition for Allowance of Appeal to PA Supreme Court Filed	Appellant	
---------------	--	-----------	--

William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi

Comment: 167 MT 2026
Filed in Supreme 7/14/26

July 21, 2026	Petition for Allowance of Appeal to PA Supreme Court Filed	Appellant	
---------------	--	-----------	--

William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi

Comment: 423 MAL 2026
Filed in Supreme 7/14/26

DISPOSITION INFORMATION

Final Disposition: Yes

Related Journal No:

Category: Disposed Before Decision

Disposition: Quash Sua Sponte

Judgment Date:

Disposition Author:

Disposition Date:

Per Curiam

July 10, 2026

Appeal Docket Sheet

Superior Court of Pennsylvania

Docket Number: 596 MDA 2026

Page 5 of 5

July 30, 2026



DISPOSITION INFORMATION

Disposition Comment: Upon consideration of the notice of appeal and docketing statement filed by pro se Appellants William A. Morris and Kimberley D. Millunzi, defendants below, Appellants appeal from the April 2, 2026 order ("Order") disposing of Appellants' "Emergency Omnibus Motion for Reconsideration of 3/9/26 Order, Stay of Execution and Stay of Eviction." The language of the Order further directed Appellant Kimberley D. Millunzi to file a "new Petition to Proceed in forma pauperis that indicates her current employment and income." The Order does not appear to be a final order or otherwise appealable pursuant to relevant law or statute. See, generally, Pa.R.A.P. 341(b)(1) (stating final order disposes of all claims and all parties); see also *McCutcheon v. Philadelphia Elec. Co.*, 788 A.2d 345, 349 (Pa. 2002) (providing appeal properly lies from final order unless otherwise permitted by rule or statute). Moreover, an order denying reconsideration is not reviewable on appeal. *Huntington Nat. Bank v. K - Cor, Inc.*, 107 A.3d 783, 787 (Pa. Super. 2014) (noting refusal of a trial court to reconsider, rehear, or permit reargument is not reviewable on appeal). Additionally, an order denying a motion to stay is generally interlocutory and unappealable. *Keesee v. Dougherty*, 230 A.3d 1128, 1131-32 (Pa. Super. 2020). As such, by order entered May 21, 2026, this Court directed Appellants to show cause as to why the appeal should not be quashed. Appellants filed a response, but the response is legally insufficient to support appealability of the order. Accordingly, the appeal is QUASHED. All pending applications are DISMISSED as moot.

Dispositional Filing:

Filing Author:

Filed Date:

CROSS COURT ACTIONS

Docket Number:	167 MT 2026
Court Name:	Supreme
Short Caption:	Juarez-Cardenas, A. v. Morris, W. and Millunzi, K., Pets.
Case Status:	Closed

Disposition:	
Disposition Date:	
Petition Reargument/Reconsideration Filed Date:	
Reargument Disposition:	
Reargument Disposition Date:	
Cross Court Action Type:	Case Initiation

Docket Number:	423 MAL 2026
Court Name:	Supreme
Short Caption:	Juarez-Cardenas, A. v. Morris, W. and Millunzi, K., Pets.
Case Status:	Active

Disposition:	
Disposition Date:	
Petition Reargument/Reconsideration Filed Date:	
Reargument Disposition:	
Reargument Disposition Date:	
Cross Court Action Type:	