

Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 1 of 9 April 24, 2024

			CAPTION		
Jonathan Barris, Appellee v. Stroud Township, Appella					
		CASE			
Initiating Document:	Order Grant	ing Petition for Allowa	ance of Appeal		
Case Status:	Closed				
Journal Number:	J-29-2023	May 24, 2023			
Case Category:	Civil		Case Type(s):	Civil Action Law	
CONSOLIDATED CASES RELATED CASES					

	COUNS	EL INFORMATION
Attorney:	Albert, Neil Lawrence	
Address:	848 E Main St # 121	
	Ephrata, PA 17522	
Phone No:	(717) 940-0202	
Receive Mail:	Yes	
Receive EMail:	Yes	Email:
Representing:	Stroud Township, Appellant	
Pro Se: IFP Status:	No	
Attorney:	Kramer, Paul R., Jr.	
Address:	103 N 7TH St	
	Stroudsburg, PA 18360-2154	
Phone No:	(570) 476-2950	
Receive Mail:	Yes	
Receive EMail:	Yes	Email:
Representing:	Barris, Jonathan, Appellee	
Pro Se:	No	
IFP Status:		



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 2 of 9

April 24, 2024

	COUNSEL INFORMATION
Attorney:	Kirkpatrick, Sean Andrew
	Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General, 16th Floor , Strawberry Square, Harrisburg, PA
Address:	Pa Ofc Of Attorney General
	Strawberry Sq 15th Fl
	Harrisburg, PA 17120-0001
Phone No:	(717) 705-2331
Receive Mail:	Yes
Receive EMail:	Yes Email:
Representing:	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Prince, Joshua Garet
/ donloy.	
Address:	Prince Law Ofcs Pc
	646 Lenape Rd
	Bechtelsville, PA 19505
Phone No:	(888) 202-9297 x:81114
Receive Mail:	Yes
Receive EMail:	Yes Email:
Representing:	Allegheny County Sportsmen's League, et al., Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Sack, William Aaron
Address:	5550 Painted Mirage Road
	STE 320
	Las Vegas, NV 89149
Phone No:	(916) 596-3492
Receive Mail:	Yes
Receive EMail:	Yes Email: Firegraph Deligy Coglition and EPC Action Foundation Amigue Curies
Representing:	Firearms Policy Coalition and FPC Action Foundation, Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Watterson, Kim M.
	Reed Smith LLP
Address:	225 5TH Ave Ste 1200
	Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2716
Phone No:	(412) 288-7996
Receive Mail:	Yes
Receive EMail:	Yes Email:
Representing:	CeaseFire PA, Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 3 of 9

April 24, 2024

	COUNSEL INFORMATION
Attorney:	Delone, John Bartley
	Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General
Address:	Pa Ofc Of Attorney General
	Strawberry Sq FI 15
	Harrisburg, PA 17120
Phone No:	(717) 783-3226
Receive Mail: Receive EMail:	Yes Email:
Representing:	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Henry, Michelle Ann
	Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General
Address:	Pa Office Of Attorney General
	Strawberry Sq FI 16
	Harrisburg, PA 17120
Phone No:	(717) 580-0195
Receive Mail: Representing:	Yes Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Amicus Curiae
Pro Se:	No
IFP Status:	
Attorney:	Misour, Devin Michael
Address:	225 Fifth Ave
	Suite 1200
	Pittsburgh, PA 15222
Phone No:	(412) 288-3091
Receive Mail:	Yes
Receive EMail:	Yes Email:
Representing: Pro Se:	CeaseFire PA, Amicus Curiae No
IFP Status:	

5:14 P.M.

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 4 of 9

April 24, 2024

SUPREME COURT INFORMATION

Appeal From:the Commonwealth Court Order dated May 28, 2021 at No. 671 CD 2020
Reversing the Order of the Court of Common Pleas of Monroe County,
Civil Division, at No. 6773 Civil 2015 dated May 26, 2020.Probable Jurisdiction Noted:Docketed Date:June 6, 2022Allocatur/Miscellaneous Granted:June 6, 2022Allocatur/Miscellaneous Docket No.:363 MAL 2021

Allocatur/Miscellaneous Grant Order: AND NOW, this 6th day of June, 2022, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED. The issue, as rephrased, is:

Whether an ordinance that limits target shooting to two non-residential zoning districts, and thus does not provide for shooting ranges at all private residences, is facially unconstitutional under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution?

In addressing this issue, the parties are directed to discuss in their briefs the following subsidiary matters: (1) whether this Court should adopt the two-step framework for addressing Second Amendment challenges utilized by the lower court; (2) whether the core Second Amendment right to possess firearms for self-defense recognized in District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), also implies a corresponding right to acquire and maintain proficiency in their use; (3) whether such a corresponding right, if it exists, must extend to one's own home; and (4) the level of scrutiny courts should apply when reviewing enactments that burden individuals' ability to maintain firearms proficiency.

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

AND NOW, this 6th day of July, 2022, the briefing directives contained in the Court's June 6, 2022 order are hereby VACATED, and the parties are DIRECTED to address the question presented under the standards announced in N.Y. Rifle & Pistol Assoc., Inc. v. Bruen, ____ S.Ct. ___, 2022 WL 2251305 (June 23, 2022).

FEE INFORMATION Fee Dt Fee Name Fee Amt Receipt Dt Receipt No Receipt Amt 08/18/2022 2nd Motion for Extension of Time 10.00 08/29/2022 2022-SUP-M-001982 10.00 INTERMEDIATE APPELLATE COURT INFORMATION Court Name: Commonwealth Docket Number: 671 CD 2020 Date of Order: May 28, 2021 Rearg/Recon Disp Date: Rearg/Recon Disposition: Brobson, P. Kevin Judge(s): Leavitt, Mary Hannah Leadbetter, Bonnie Brigance Intermediate Appellate Court Action: Reversed Referring Court:

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

AGENCY/TRIAL COURT INFORMATION

5:14 P.M.

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 5 of 9 April 24, 2024

Court Below:	Monroe C	ounty Court of Common Ple	eas	
County:	Monroe		Division: Monroe County	Civil Division
Date of Agency/Trial Cou	rt Order:	May 26, 2020		
Docket Number:	No. 6773	Civil 2015		
Judge(s):	Zulick, Art	hur L.	OTN:	
Order Type:	Order			
		ORIGINAL R	ECORD CONTENT	
Original Record Item			Filed Date	Content/Description
Part(s) Trial Court Opinion - e-file Trial Court Record - e-file				6 1 1
Record Remittal:	March 13,	2024		
		BRIEFIN	G SCHEDULE	
Amicus Curiae Allegheny County Spor Brief	tsmen's Lo	eague, et al.	Appellee Barris, Jonathan Brief	
Due: October 24, 2022	Filed	l: October 21, 2022	Due: October 31, 2022	Filed: October 19, 2022
CeaseFire PA Brief				
Due: September 23, 2022	2 Filed	l: September 22, 2022		
Commonwealth of Pen Brief	nsylvania			
Due: September 23, 2022	2 Filed	I: September 22, 2022		
Firearms Policy Coalitie	on and FP	C Action Foundation		
Due: October 24, 2022	Filed	l: October 21, 2022		
Appellant Stroud Township Brief Due: September 22, 2022		l: September 22, 2022		
Due. September 22, 2022		i. September 22, 2022		
Reply Brief Due: November 7, 2022	Filed	l:		
Reproduced Record Due: September 22, 2022	2 Filed	l: September 22, 2022		
		SESSION	INFORMATION	
Journal Number: Listed/Submitted Date: Consideration Type:	J-29-2023 May 24, 2 Oral Argui			

5:14 P.M.

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 6 of 9

April 24, 2024

	DISPOSITION		
Related Journal No:	J-29-2023	Judgment Date:	February 21, 2024
Category:	Decided	Disposition Author:	Dougherty, Kevin M.
Disposition:	Reversed/Remanded	Disposition Date:	February 21, 2024
Dispositional Filing:	Dissenting Opinion	Author:	Mundy, Sallie U.
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Dispositional Filing:	Concurring/Dissenting Opinion	Author:	Donohue, Christine
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Dispositional Filing:	Majority Opinion	Author:	Dougherty, Kevin M.
Filed Date:	February 21, 2024		
Justice:	Todd, Debra	Vote:	Joins
Justice:	Wecht, David N.	Vote:	Joins
Justice:	Brobson, P. Kevin	Vote:	Did Not Participate in
			Consid/Decision of Case

DOCKET ENTRY				
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By	
June 6, 2022	Allocatur Granted			
			Per Curiam	

Comments:

AND NOW, this 6th day of June, 2022, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is GRANTED. The issue, as rephrased, is:

Whether an ordinance that limits target shooting to two non-residential zoning districts, and thus does not provide for shooting ranges at all private residences, is facially unconstitutional under the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution?

In addressing this issue, the parties are directed to discuss in their briefs the following subsidiary matters: (1) whether this Court should adopt the two-step framework for addressing Second Amendment challenges utilized by the lower court; (2) whether the core Second Amendment right to possess firearms for self-defense recognized in District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570 (2008), also implies a corresponding right to acquire and maintain proficiency in their use; (3) whether such a corresponding right, if it exists, must extend to one's own home; and (4) the level of scrutiny courts should apply when reviewing enactments that burden individuals' ability to maintain firearms proficiency.

Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

June 7, 2022	Commonwealth Court Record Received	
		Commonwealth Court of
		Pennsylvania



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 7 of 9

April 24, 2024

			Apríl 24, 2024		
	DO	CKET ENTRY			
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By		
July 6, 2022	Order Vacating Briefing Directives Question	in June 6, 2022 Order and E	Directive to Address		
			Per Curiam		
VACATED, and the p		the question presented un	Court's June 6, 2022 order are hereby nder the standards announced in N.Y		
Justice Brobson did not	participate in the consideration or de	cision of this matter.			
July 6, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary		
July 7, 2022	Application for Extension of Time	to File Brief - First Request			
		Appellant	Stroud Township		
July 11, 2022	Order Granting Application for Ex	tension of Time to File Appe	llant Brief Dreibelbis, Amy		
	day of July, 2022, Appellant's a all be filed on or before September 1,		time is granted. Appellant's Brief and		
July 11, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary		
August 18, 2022	Application for Extension of Time	to File Brief - Second Reque Appellant	est Stroud Township		
August 22, 2022	Order Granting Application for Ex	tension of Time to File Appe	llant Brief Dreibelbis, Amy		
	Brief and Reproduced Record sh		tension of time is granted only to the September 22, 2022. Further extensior		
August 22, 2022	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary		
September 22, 2022	Appellant's Brief				
•		Appellant	Stroud Township		
September 22, 2022	Appellant's Reproduced Record F	iled Appellant	Stroud Township		
September 22, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief	Amicus Curiae	CeaseFire PA		
September 22, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief	Amicus Curiae	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania		



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022

Page 8 of 9

April 24, 2024

	April 24, 2024				
	DO	CKET ENTRY			
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By		
October 19, 2022	Appellee's Brief				
		Appellee	Barris, Jonathan		
October 21, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief				
		Amicus Curiae	Allegheny County Sportsmen's League, et al.		
			Conservation League, Firearms Owners I Sportsmen of PA, and USCCA Legal		
October 21, 2022	Amicus Curiae Brief				
		Amicus Curiae	Firearms Policy Coalition and FPC Action Foundation		
April 3, 2023	Acknowledgement of Argument N	otice			
	Stroud Township	Appellant	Albert, Neil Lawrence		
April 17, 2023	Acknowledgement of Argument N				
	Barris, Jonathan	Appellee	Kramer, Paul R., Jr.		
April 17, 2023	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania's Argument	Unopposed Application to	o Participate in Oral		
		Amicus Curiae	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania		
April 24, 2023	No Answer Letter to Application for	or Oral Argument			
		Appellant	Stroud Township		
April 25, 2023	No Answer Letter to Application fe	-			
		Appellee	Barris, Jonathan		
May 16, 2023	Order Granting Commonwealth of in Oral Argument	f Pennsylvania's Unoppose	ed Application to Participate		
•			Per Curiam		
Comments: AND NOW, this 16 GRANTED.	oth day of May, 2023, the Common	wealth's Unopposed Applic	cation to Participate in Oral Argument is		
Justice Brobson did	not participate in the consideration or de	ecision of this matter.			
May 16, 2023	Order Exited		Office of the Prothonotary		
 May 16, 2023	Acknowledgement of Argument N	otice	· · · ·		
	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Kirkpatrick, Sean Andrew		
	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Delone, John Bartley		
May 22, 2023	Praecipe for Appearance Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Amicus Curiae	Delone, John Bartley		
			,		
May 24, 2023	Argued				
	-	Appellant	Albert, Neil Lawrence		
	Stroud Township Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Appellant Amicus Curiae	Albert, Neil Lawrence Delone, John Bartley		

Neither the Appellate Courts nor the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts assumes any liability for inaccurate or delayed data, errors or omissions on the docket sheets.



Appeal Docket Sheet Docket Number: 68 MAP 2022 Page 9 of 9

April 24, 2024

DOCKET ENTRY				
Filed Date	Docket Entry / Representing	Participant Type	Filed By	
February 21, 2024	Reversed/Remanded			

Dougherty, Kevin M.

Comments:

We hold the Second Amendment's plain text covers Barris's conduct based on the specific terms of the discharge ordinance before us, which permits the confiscation of lawfully owned arms. Nonetheless, we further hold the government has met its burden to justify the discharge ordinance's shooting range exception by showing it is consistent with the Nation's historical tradition of firearm regulation. As such, Barris's conduct falls outside the Second Amendment's unqualified command, and the Township's discharge ordinance is facially constitutional. We therefore reverse and remand.

* * * *

We close by adding our voice to the ever-growing chorus of courts across the country that have implored the High Court to answer some of the many questions Bruen both created and left unresolved -- or even to reconsider its path entirely. Our Nation is gripped by a level of deadly gun violence our founders never could have conceived, and, respectfully, some of the Court's actions in recent years have done little to quell the legitimate fears of "the people." Doubtless, the federal Constitution is king, and the heavy burden of interpreting that all-important document falls solely to the head of the federal judiciary. Still, to many, the Bruen Court's word that the Second Amendment is meant "to be adapted to the various crises of human affairs" largely rings hollow since the Court has frozen its meaning in time in the ways that matter most. Bruen, 597 U.S. at 28. Worse yet, the Court seemingly moves the goalposts with each new case it takes, most recently by sua sponte discarding a test that was uniformly embraced by courts across the country and replacing it with a harsh "history-and-tradition" test no one asked for. We cannot help but wonder (and fear, really): What's next?

Chief Justice Todd and Justice Wecht join the opinion. Justice Donohue files a concurring and dissenting opinion Justice Mundy files a dissenting opinion. Justice Brobson did not participate in the consideration or decision of this matter.

February 21, 2024	Judgment Entered	
		Office of the Prothonotary
March 13, 2024	Original Record Remitted to Commonwealth Court	
		Office of the Prothonotary
March 14, 2024	Acknowledgement of Record Remittal	
		Commonwealth Court of
		Pennsylvania
	CROSS COURT ACTIONS	

Docket Number:	363 MAL 2021
Docket Number:	671 CD 2020